CITY OF AMADOR CITY

RFQ NO. 2026-01

OLD HIGHWAY 49 RESURFACING, PED/BIKE CROSSINGS AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Questions related to the RFQ were due by October 30, 2026, at 12:00 PM. The following questions were received and constitute changes or clarifications to the RFQ in this addendum:

1. There appears to be two page 11's in the RFQ. Is there a page 10?

   Answer: The City inadvertently inserted page 11 twice in the RFQ. Please find the attached Page 10.

2. Can you provide clarification on the inclusion of the Affirmative Action Plan in the proposal? Given that the DBE Goal is 0%, do the items in Stipulation 4 apply? If so, is the Affirmative Action Plan included in the 30-page limit for the response?

   Answer: The affirmative action policy is required for firms with 50 or more employees. The stipulations in Section VII. J. 4 still apply to this RFQ. These responses are not included in the 30-page limit.

3. Are the required Exhibits (A, B, C, D) included in the 30-page limit for the response?

   Answer: No.

4. How many culverts will be replaced?

   Answer: Approximately 13 culverts will be replaced. Please find the attached preliminary plan Sheets C1 & C2 dated 06/04/2025.

5. The Project Study Report included in the RFQ describes slope stabilization. Where is that located?

   Answer: Please refer to the attached preliminary plan Sheet C1 dated 06/04/2025.

Bidders shall note acknowledgement of this Addendum No. 1 in the RFQ.

Frank Whitmore, City Engineer 10/30/26

#3296
Addendum No.1.doc

3. The Contract Administrator's decisions may be appealed in writing to the City of Amador City prior to the award date, which is stated in the **Notification of Unsuccessful Proposals**. The City shall review and decide the appeal based on the grounds and documentation set forth in the original protest to the City Mayor. The appealing party may be represented by legal counsel if desired. Each party shall bear its own costs and expenses involved in the protest and appeal process, including any subsequent litigation. The decision of the City shall be final unless the protested procurements are obtained in whole or in part with Federal or State funds.

IX. EVALUATION AND SELECTION

A review panel made up of representatives of the City and its partners will evaluate the SOQs. Proposers may be telephoned and asked for further information, if necessary, and may be expected to appear for oral interviews on the date identified in the project timetable. Previous clients will also be called. The panel will make recommendations to the City on the basis of the submittal, oral interview, and reference check. The City reserves the right to select a consultant based solely on written statement of qualifications and not convene oral interviews.

Upon receipt of the submittal, a technical evaluation will be performed. Each of the major sections of the SOQ will be reviewed and evaluated with criteria designed to help judge the quality of the submittal. Evaluation criteria will include such considerations as:

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points
Understanding of the project's needs.	20
Previous experience of the firm with similar or related work.	20
Qualification of the firm and individuals who will be assigned to work on this project.	20
Consultant's approach to providing required services and products including personnel, tools, methods, schedules, etc.	20
Consultant support capability and experience with state and federal procedures as well as experience with small rural counties.	20
Total	**100**

Following the qualification-based ranking, negotiations shall be conducted with the most qualified proposer. If a draft agreement cannot be reached with the top ranked candidate within five days, then negotiations may be terminated. Negotiations may then be opened with the second choice and the process repeated. When negotiations are terminated with the consultant, negotiations will not be reopened with them during this process. If an agreement cannot be reached with any of the consultants recommended by the selection committee, the selection committee will be asked to make additional recommendations.

Upon attainment of a draft agreement, the draft agreement will be forwarded internally within the City for review on content prior to final approval and execution by the City.

The City retains the right to reject any and all submitted letters of interest and qualifications which do not comply with the provisions of this Request for Qualifications or for any other reasons deemed appropriate by the City.



SITE PLAN

HATCH LEGEND:

- (P) ASPHALT CONCRETE OVERLAY
- (E) BRIDGE
- (P) ASPHALT CONCRETE DIG OUT AREA (18,000 S.F.)
- (P) SLOPE STABILIZATION
- (P) CONCRETE SIDEWALK

N

0 100 200

REVISIONS

REV. No.	DESCRIPTION	REV. DATE	BY
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

WEBER, GHIO & ASSOCIATES
civil engineering consultant
394 E. SAINT CHARLES ST. | PO BOX 251 | SAN ANDREAS, CA 95249
(209) 754-1824

AMAODR CITY ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

AMADOR CITY

AMADOR COUNTY CALIFORNIA

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
MATTHEW STEPHEN GRITTAL
No. 76594
CIVIL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PRJ. No.:	1150
DATE:	06/04/2025
SCALE:	AS SHOWN
DRAWN BY:	DMV
CHECKED BY:	MSO

C1

SHT. 1 of SHT. 2



SITE PLAN

HATCH LEGEND:

- (P) ASPHALT CONCRETE OVERLAY
- (E) BRIDGE
- (P) CONCRETE SIDEWALK

N

0 100 200

Plot Jun 04, 2025 at 9:29am M:\Projects\AmadorCity\1150-GENERAL\Main Street Paving\1150_Amador Road Improvements.dwg

REVISIONS

REV. No.	DESCRIPTION	REV. DATE	BY
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

WEBER, GHIO & ASSOCIATES
civil engineering consultant
394 E. SAINT CHARLES ST. | PO BOX 251 | SAN ANDREAS, CA 95249
(209) 754-1824

AMAODR CITY ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

AMADOR CITY

AMADOR COUNTY CALIFORNIA

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
MATTHEW STEPHEN OSPITAL
No. 76594
CIVIL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PRJ. No.:	1150
DATE:	06/04/2025
SCALE:	AS SHOWN
DRAWN BY:	DMV
CHECKED BY:	MSO

C2

SHT. 2 of SHT. 2